W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,083	08/11/2006	Marc Theisen	10191/3760	1555
26646 KENYON & K	7590 09/25/2007 XENYON LLP	FXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			PECHE, JORGE O	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	-
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/550,083	THEISEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jorge O. Peche	3661				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the properties	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>09/19/2005; 07/02/2007</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	7_ is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ odrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Information (3)	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's argument/remarks filed on July 02, 2007. Claims 5-8 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has added new claims 9-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Takaya et al. (Patent No.: 5,497,327).

Regarding claim 5, Takaya discloses a method for protecting the vehicle occupant in a vehicle collision. The method comprises the steps of:

- Activating an occupant restraint system (R) as a function of a deceleration signal (1) (see col. 3. lines 7-41; Figure 1)
- Initiating the activating process when the deceleration signal exceeds a threshold level (noise threshold). A time is timed (time required) until the integrated value exceeds a threshold value in a collision; then an operating

Art Unit: 3661

timing (FT)(triggering time) of the occupant restrain system is determined (see abstract; col. 5, lines 4-col.6, line 3; Figures 6-7).

Regarding claim 6, Takaya discloses an operation timing (FT) of an occupant restraint system (R) taking into account an offset constant (see col. 5, lines 65- col. 6, line 11).

Regarding claims 7-8, Takaya discloses a method for calculating an operating time (triggering time) as a function of a deceleration sensor signal (collision velocity) and crash types. Furthermore, a speed collision (collision velocity) is calculated with the aid of deceleration sensor (see abstract, col.3, line 62 - col. 4, line 45; Figures 3-4).

Regarding claims 9-10, Takaya discloses a method for calculating an operating time (FT) (triggering time) as a function of a deceleration sensor signal (collision velocity) and crash type. A speed collision (collision velocity) is calculated with the aid of deceleration sensor (see abstract, col.3, line 62 – col. 4, line 45; Figures 3-4). Furthermore, Takaya discloses an operation timing (FT) (triggering time) of an occupant restraint system (R) taking into account an offset constant (see col. 5, lines 65- col. 6, line 11).

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed on July 02, 2007, with respect to the rejections of claims 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a Art Unit: 3661

new ground(s) of rejection is made as being unpatentable over **Takaya et al. (Patent No.: 5,497,327)** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jorge O. Peche whose telephone number is 571-270-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Jorge O. Peche

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3661 September 10, 2007 THOMAS BLACK THOMAS BLACK PATENT EXAMINER